Clinical Cardiology Research and Reports

www.alcrut.com

Research Article | Clinical Cardiology Research and Reports | Open Access

Obesity Indicators and Cardiometabolic Diseases Differed by Race/Ethnicity

Sudeer Krishna
Department of Public Health and Community Medicine/Primary Health Care Sweden

***Corresponding Author**: Sudeer Krishna, Department of Public Health and Community Medicine/Primary Health Care Sweden

Received Date: March 30, 2022; **Accepted Date:** April 20, 2022; **Published Date:** April 23, 2022

Citation: Sudeer Krishna, Obesity Indicators and Cardiometabolic Diseases Differed by Race/Ethnicity, J Clinical Cardiology Research and Reports

Abstract

Rotundity, 20 or further over ideal body weight, is a growing epidemic across race/ race in the United States. From 1960- 2006, the chance of fat grown-ups more than doubled, adding from 13 to 35. Although there wasn't an increase in rotundity from 2007 to 2010, there are subpopulations whose rates exceeded the normal. The frequence of rotundity is advanced in African Americans and Hispanics as compared tonon-Hispanic Whites. rotundity has been clinically defined by several measures, including midriff circumference and body mass indicator (BMI). individualities whose body mass indicator (BMI) ≥ 30 kg/ m2 are considered fat. Grounded on a recent methodical meta- analysis of US and transnational populations, fat individualities were significantly were associated with advanced mortality as compared to normal weight individualities.

Keywords: Cardiovascular diseases; diabetes; hyperglycemia; hypertension; dyslipidemia; obesity; skinfold measures; race/ethnicity

Introduction

Rotundity, 20 or further over ideal body weight, is a growing epidemic across race/ race in the United States. From 1960- 2006, the chance of fat grown-ups more than doubled, adding from 13 to 35 (1). Although there wasn't an increase in rotundity from 2007 to 2010(,3), there are subpopulations whose rates exceeded the normal. The frequence of rotundity is advanced in African Americans (1.4) and Hispanics (1.2) as compared tonon-Hispanic Whites (4). rotundity has been clinically defined by several measures, including midriff circumference and body mass indicator (BMI). individualities whose body mass indicator (BMI) ≥ 30 kg/ m2 are considered fat (5). Grounded on a recent methodical meta- analysis of US and transnational populations, fat individualities were significantly were associated with advanced mortality as compared to normal weight individualities (6). (Flegal etal., 2013). Cardiometabolic threat is considered with midriff circumference ≥ 102 cm (≥ 40 in) for men and ≥ 88 cm (≥ 35 in) for women; still, there's substantiation that these cutoffs may be lower in certain races races (7). Truncal skinfold consistence and midriff circumference measures are considered pointers of central rotundity. Skinfold consistence has been associated with body fattiness and may be a better index of adverse health issues than BMI (8).

rotundity is among the major threat factors of cardiometabolic conditions including hyperglycemia (prediabetes diabetes), hypertension and dyslipidemia (9). Over one- quarter of a million unseasonable deaths in the US per time are attributed to rotundity (10). The prevalence of heart conditions, including diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia, is lesser for persons who are fat (10). Early opinion and treatment depend on access to healthcare and uninsured persons are less-likely to admit medical care (11). A advanced percent of African Americans (20.8) and Hispanics (30.7) have no health insurance as compared tonon-Hispanic Whites (11.7) (4). rotundity webbing styles for hard to reach population haven't been determined. Health expositions and community health wireworks with subscapular and triceps skinfold dimension may be more effective than taking weight, height and midriff circumference. The purpose of this study is to assess the association of these rotundity pointers with cardiometabolic conditions hyperglycemia, hypertension, and high,non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol(non-HDL-C), and low, high- viscosity lipoprotein cholesterol(HDL- C).

Accoutrements and styles

Source of data

Data for this study were from added 2- time cycles of datasets from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2007- 2008 and 2009- 2010(12). NHANES uses a complex, multistage, probability sample design to gain representative samples of the noninstitutionalized, mercenary US population (12). This study acquired data (N = ,377) for males and ladies ≥ 21 times of progressed from four out of five ethnical/ ethnical orders Mexican Americans (MA); Other Hispanics (OH); Blacknon-Hispanics (BNH); and White non- Hispanics (WNH). The bracket' other races'(Asian and mixed- races) was barred since figures in this order weren't sufficient for multiple comparisons. The final sample size with data for the study variables was N = 8850 (Mama = 1654, OH = 1010, BNH = 1591, WNH = 4595).

Ethical considerations

Prior to public release, the study protocols (durability of protocol# 2005- 06 for both datasets) were approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board (NCHS- ERB) (13). Separate informed concurrence forms were inked by actors for the interview and health examination, or just the interview. Actors for this study read, understood, and inked informed concurrence forms for the interview and health examination.

Major variables

Independent variables included race/ race and rotundity pointers. A nominal order for race/ race recoded for WNH as the reference. The order" other races" was excluded with a sludge. Waist circumference was tested for linearity and used as a nonstop variable. Body mass indicator (BMI) was enciphered as an ordinal variable with four situations combining the World Health Organization (WHO)'s orders (5) as follows light and normal were collapsed to order 1 (BMI< 25 kg/ m2); order 2 corresponded to pre fat (BMI = 25-29.9 kg/ m2); order 3 corresponded to fat, class I (BMI = 30-34.9 kg/ m2); and, order 4 combined fat classes II and III (BMI ≥ 35kg/ m2). Waist circumference and skinfold consistence measures, including triceps and subscapular, were distributed in quartiles.

. The variable for hyperglycemia was reckoned by glycated hemoglobin (A1C) ≥6.0, dyslipidemia, abnormal situations of one or further of the lipoproteins, was measured by low HDL- C and high,non-HDL-C ≥ 130 mg/ dL. The variable fornon-HDL-C was created by abating HDL- C from total cholesterol, also calculating the double variable. The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) recommendnon-HDL-C be lower than 30mg/ dL above the target for low- viscosity lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL- C< 100 mg/ dL) (14). Non-HDL-C is the sum of all lipoproteins except HDL- C and includes LDL- C intermediate and veritably low- viscosity lipoproteins, lipoprotein (a). A dyslipidemia score was created adding variables for highnon-HDL-C, high triglycerides (≥ 150 mg/ dL), and low, HDL (< 40 mg/ dL for men and< 50 mg/ dL for women). A score of ≥ 1 was considered having dyslipidemia. Hypertension was reckoned as a double variable where systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg.

Adjustment variables

Clinically significant covariates and implicit confounders were tested with Pearson's and Spearmen Rho's correlations. All variables were retained for full models except connubial status. orders for education were collapsed to three< high academy, high academy,> high academy to assure sufficient power grounded on the estimated borderline means. A variable for physical exertion moderate or vigorous physical exertion was reckoned by adding the yea and no responses for each order, also forming a double variable for engaging in either moderate or vigorous physical exertion. The income to poverty indicator was used to acclimate for income. Health insurance was measured as a double variable (yea/no). Smoking status was collapse to a double variable, presently smoking (yes no). In addition, variables for, gender (binary) and age in times (nonstop) were used from the dataset.

Statistical analysis

The general characteristics of the study population by race/ race were determined using complex sample design logistic retrogression models for categorical variables and general direct models for nonstop variables with WNH as the reference group. Reduced and full logistic retrogression models were performed for each cardiometabolic threat factor. Reduced models included 1- and 2- way relations of race/ race with each rotundity index and were acclimated by age and gender. When no commerce was present, the 2- way term was dropped to achieve optimal model fit. Full models included the covariates of the reduced models along with presently smoking, education, health insurance, income to poverty indicator, and physical exertion. Acclimated sample weights were used to regard for unstable chances of selection and nonresponse in the multistage stratified cluster slice design used in NHANES to achieve unprejudiced public estimates. The sample weights used were grounded on the Mobile Examination Center (MEC) and were reckoned using the normal of the 2- time sample weights for each cycle, as per guidelines set by NHANES (15). Data analysis was conducted with the complex slice module, IBM- SPSS interpretation 20. The Wald F statistic was used to determine model significance for logistic retrogression analysis (16). A P- value of<.05 was considered significant.

Results

Threat factors associated with rotundity and rotundity pointers by race/ race. Compared to WNH, other ethnical/ ethnical groups were youngish, had lower inflows, lower education and a advanced frequence of not having health insurance. WNH had a advanced frequence of engaging in moderate or vigorous physical exertion, but spent further hours of sedentary exertion as compared to other ethnical/ ethnical groups. rotundity pointers had different patterns by race/ race; still, subscapular skinfold consistence was significantly advanced for all groups as compared to WHN. Diabetes and hypertension were more current in all groups as compared to WNH. High A1C (prediabetes and diabetes) was more current in MA and BNH as compared to WNH. The percent of highnon-HDL cholesterol was lesser for MA and BNH as compared to WHN. The completely acclimated models maintained the same patterns as the reduced models.

Final reduced and completely acclimated logistic retrogression analyses (Models 1- 3) for the effect of rotundity index and race on cardiometabolic complaint. There were differences by race for all cardiometabolic conditions tested; still, two- way relations of race/ race by each of the rotundity pointers weren't significant. thus, relations aren't presented in the final models. For each model, subscapular skinfold and midriff circumference measures were significant rotundity pointers associated with each cardiometabolic complaint hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension. BMI was associated with Model 1 (hyperglycemia), only. Triceps skinfold consistence wasn't a significant index for the conditions tested. For Model 1, subscapular skinfold consistence at the smallest quartile was2.63 times less likely to have high A1C as compared to the loftiest quartile. The Odds rate for the smallest quartile subscapular skinfold consistence (0.38) represents the drop in liability for high A1C as compared to the Odds rate of1.00 for the loftiest quartile) (Model 1). Waist circumference had a stronger association with high A1C than subscapular skinfold consistence (Model 1). The smallest quartile was4.00 times less likely than the loftiest quartile to have high A1C (prediabetes or diabetes) (Model 1). BMI and triceps skinfold consistence weren't significantly associated with high A1C (Model 1). Model 2 (reduced and completely acclimated), depicts those in the smallest quartiles of midriff circumference and subscapular skinfold consistence were more likely to have high non HDL- C than those in the loftiest presents the final models with Odds rates of race/ race and rotundity pointers for two models of dyslipidemia, Model A,non-HDL-C and Model B, HDL-C. Both the reduced models and completely acclimated models include two measures of cardiometabolic conditions associated with dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia and hypertension. There were significant differences by race/ race for these dyslipidemia pointers; still, the two- way relations for race/ race by each rotundity index weren't significant for both models. thus, relations aren't presented in the final models. Models with dyslipidemia measured as having at least one of the following highnon-HDL-C, low HDL- C, or high triglycerides didn't achieve acceptable fit and bracket. This may be due, in part, to the high percent of missing values for triglycerides. In addition, separate model were run for men and women for each measure of dyslipidemia; still, the patterns of significance were the same as for the combined model.

Separate models of dyslipidemia measures (Models A and B) were conducted. For Model A, individualities with hyperglycemia were more likely to have highnon-HDL-C in both the reduced (OR = 1.57(1.34,1.83)) and the completely acclimated (OR = 1.60(1.37,1.88) models. The Odds rates for high non- HDL- C for persons with hypertension were lesser than for people without hypertension in the reduced (1.25(1.06,1.47)) and completely acclimated (1.24(1.06,1.46)) models. BNH had a advanced Odds rate of highnon-HDL-C as compared to WNH in the reduced (1.45(1.24,1.71)) and completely acclimated (1.49(1.25,1.76)) models. individualities in the smallest quartile of subscapular skinfold consistence were more likely to have highnon-HDL-C as compared to those in the loftiest quartile in the reduced (OR = 1.89(1.45,2.47)) and completely acclimated (OR = 1.90(1.44,2.49)) models. This unanticipated, inverse relationship was set up for midriff circumference, as well, where the 1st quartile was more likely to have highnon-HDL-C as compared to the 4th quartile for the reduced (OR = 1.70(1.22,2.36)) and completely acclimated (OR = 1.65(1.19,2.30)) models. For Model B, low HDL- C, individualities with hyperglycemia were more likely to have low HDL- C in both the reduced (OR = 1.98(1.62,1.78)) and completely acclimated (OR = 1.78(1.47,2.16)) models as compared to those with normal A1C. Low HDL- C was more likely for those with as compared to without hypertension for the reduced (OR = 1.23(1.05,1.45)) and completely acclimated (OR = 1.21(1.03,1.43)) models.

BNH were less-likely to have low HDL- C as compared to WHN in the reduced (OR = 0.53(0.42,0.66)) and completely acclimated (OR = 0.43(0.34,0.55)) models. individualities of normal BMI's were significantly less likely to have low HDL- C than those with BMI's ≥ 35 kg/ m2. Persons with midriff circumference in the 25th and 50th percentiles were less likely to have low HDL- C as compared to the loftiest quartile (>75th). Triceps skinfold consistence wasn't significant for any model and BMI was only significant in the completely acclimated model for HDL-C.

Discussion

Subscapular skinfold consistence and midriff circumference were both significantly associated with hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension, independent of race/ race. BMI was related to hyperglycemia, only. Triceps skinfold consistence wasn't associated with any of the cardiometabolic conditions. Grown-ups in the under- and normal- weight orders were more likely to have highnon-HDL-C. The findings suggest that rotundity pointers may be an ineffective system of webbing for dyslipidemia in normal weight grown-ups.

also, our findings reconfirm ethnical/ ethnical health difference are still current in the US. We set up BNH to have the loftiest odds of having prediabetes diabetes, dyslipidemia, and hypertension, as compared to WNH conforming for rotundity and sociodemographics. All groups (MA, OH, and BNH) had advanced odds of prediabetes diabetes as compared to WNH, conforming for rotundity and sociodemographics. Our results suggests measures of central rotundity, similar as midriff circumference and subscapular skinfold measures, are better pointers of cardiometabolic conditions than BMI or supplemental rotundity (measured by triceps skinfold consistence) for a representative public sample of US grown-ups across race/ race. still, caution needs to be exercises when using rotundity pointers screening for dyslipidemia.

The rotundity index that's utmost useful in screening grown-ups for threat of diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia has not been established. There's no concordance in the literature for a rotundity index specific for each ethnical/ ethnical group. Whether BMI or central rotundity measures are more suitable pointers of CVD threat remains an area of disagreement. In a combined analysis of four longitudinal studies of Caucasians, there was no difference in central rotundity compared to BMI in prognosticating diabetes and heart complaint (17). These results may not be applicable to Blacks and Hispanics. For illustration, MacKay et.al,(18) set up rotundity pointers for African Americans to differ as compared to Hispanics and WNH using area under the receiver operating characteristic(AROC) wind(c- statistic)(18). While central and overall rotundity prognosticated the 5- time prevalence of diabetes for other ethnical/ ethnical groups, only central rotundity prognosticated the prevalence of diabetes for African Americans (18). The investigators set up subscapular to triceps rate was the most prophetic of diabetes for African Americans; while BMI was most prophetic of diabetes for Hispanics and WNH (18). Misra et.al. (19) reviewed average values of midriff circumference with associated CVD threat and set up differences in optimal arrestment points by race/ race. The authors attribute these differences to race/ ethnical determinants of abdominal towel that are told by the distribution of cadaverous muscle, body fat, and bone (19). For a population of multiracial children, combined CVD threat factors (serum lipids, dieting insulin, and blood pressure) frequence was prognosticated by both BMI and skinfold sum (triceps and scapular) inversely for the 85- 94th percentile; albeit, the investigators noted BMI had a advanced rate for the comparison of ≥ 95th versus< 25th percentiles (8). Schubert et.al. (20) reported that for grown-ups, BMI, without bioelectric impedance correction, doesn't distinguish between fat and fat-free mass. In their longitudinal study of men and women, aged 40- 60 times, there were significant increases in total cholesterol, low- viscosity cholesterol, and triglycerides as fat- mass increased (20).

Skinfold consistence measures have also been considered as a rotundity index and labels for CVD threat including prediabetes and diabetes. In a review of rotundity pointers of CVD threat, Snijder et.al. (21) considered subscapular skinfold consistence to be a promising, simple index of central rotundity citing several studies that set up associations of skinfold consistence with high blood pressure and dyslipidemia. In a cohort of men, prevalence of coronary heart complaint after a 12time follow-up was associated with subscapular skinfold consistence, independent of BMI and conforming for confounders (22). Subscapular skinfold consistence, a measure of fat mass, was set up to be a better predictor of CVD threat in cluster analysis as compared to BMI for Asian Indian adolescents (23). Truncal skinfold consistence (sub scapular, iliac and abdominal measures added) was the sole predictor of tube insulin after oral glucose forbearance test for grown-ups across BMI orders for grown-ups with prediabetes and diabetes (24). The investigators recommend the use of skinfold consistence measure in webbing for abnormal glucose or insulin (24). Subscapular skinfold consistence, as compared to other rotundity pointers (BMI, midriff to hipsterism rate, midriff circumference, abdominal measures, and other skinfold consistence measures) was significantly advanced for those with patient disabled glucose forbearance (prediabetes) (25).

Our results indicating that rotundity pointers may not be suitable for dyslipidemia webbing have been supported by the literature. Low- viscosity lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL- C) wasn't significantly associated with subcutaneous fat in normal weight Caucasian grown-ups, neither for males nor for womanish (26). The investigators set up associations with visceral, but not subcutaneous fat related to blood lipids (26). Kim etal.,(27) set up controlling for midriff circumference didn't impact the positive association between body fat mass and cardiometabolic threat factors(hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia) for Korean grown-ups of normal body weight(measured by BMI acclimated for Asian body type). There were no significant frequence rates for diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia according to adding BMI ≥ 35 kg/ m2 for African Americans, conforming for age and gender (28). The authors suggest a lower range of BMI (30- 34 kg/ m2) may be anticipated for the liability of advanced rates of these conditions (28).

This study has several strengths and sins and the results should be interpreted in their environment. A major strength of this disquisition was the use of a large, nationally representative dataset. Another benefit of this study was the current comparison of nonages to WNH in areas of cardiometabolic threat factors with and without sociodemographic adaptations. Although sweats have been made to reduce health difference, frequence of health issues by race/ race needs to be assessed every many times. The outgrowth chosen for race/ race and rotundity labels,non-HDL-C, may more reflect cardiometabolic threat than LDL- C according to a review by(29). Several limitations were noted. The order" other races" wasn't used, due to a small sample size. Several variables weren't used to acclimate for individual differences. Atherogenic dyslipidemia, which includes small- thick LDL patches, wasn't measured; albeitnon-HDL-C has been set up to relate further explosively with two pointers of atherogenic dyslipidemia, apoprotein (a) and small thick LDL patches (29). Another limitation of this study was that cholesterol- lowering drug use wasn't available for the complete sample; still, in an analysis of the subsample where drug use was available, the pattern of significance was the same with or without this adaptation. also, family history of high cholesterol wasn't available and may have been a confounder with rotundity fornon-HDL-C but not for HDL-C. The effect of rotundity pointers in these dyslipidemia issues may be attributed to the fact that statins and other cholesterol specifics infrequently achieve enhancement in HDL-C.

Conclusions

Although rotundity pointers and cardiometabolic conditions differed by race/ race; the effect of rotundity pointers on these conditions weren't told by race/ race. Subscapular skinfold consistence and midriff circumference, measures of central rotundity, were appreciatively associated with hyperglycemia, hypertension, and one measure of dyslipidemia, low- HDL. Again, both subscapular skin fold consistence and midriff circumference had an inverse association withnon-HDL-C, suggesting the presence of confounders. BMI was appreciatively associated with hyperglycemia; still, connections withnon-HDL-C and HDL- C reduced models weren't significant. There was a weak association of BMI and HDL- C in the completely acclimated model. Triceps skinfold consistence, a measure of supplemental body fat, wasn't associated with any of the assessed cardiometabolic complaint. Subscapular skinfold measure should be considered as part of a webbing for cardiometabolic conditions for grown-ups in the US population.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. CDC, 2010. **Health United States, 2009.** (page 7).
2. C. L. Ogden, M. D. Carroll, B. K. Kit, and K. M. Flegal: **Prevalence of obesity in the United States, 2009-2010**. *NCHS Data Brief*, No. 82, January, 2012.

3. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): **Obesity and overweight for professionals: adults defining**. Updated, April 37, 2012.
4. Office of Minority Health (OMH): **US Department of Health and Human Services**.
5. The World Health Organization (WHO): **Global database on body mass index. Obesity and overweight, fact sheet no. 311**. May, 2012.
6. Flegal KM, Kit BK, Orpana H and Graubard BI: **Association of all-cause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: a systematic review and meta-analysis**. *JAMA* 2013, **309**:71-82.
7. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, Gordon DJ, Krauss RM, Savage PJ, Smith SC, Jr., Spertus JA and Costa F: **Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement**. *Circulation* 2005, **112**:2735-52.
8. Freedman DS, Katzmarzyk PT, Dietz WH, Srinivasan SR and Berenson GS: **Relation of body mass index and skinfold thicknesses to cardiovascular disease risk factors in children: the Bogalusa Heart Study**. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009, **90**:210-6.
9. Eckel RH, Kahn R, Robertson RM and Rizza RA: **Preventing cardiovascular disease and diabetes: a call to action from the American Diabetes Association and the American Heart Association**. *Circulation* 2006, **113**:2943-6.
10. Surgeon General: **Overweight and obesity: Health consequences**.
11. Healthy People, 2020: **Access to Health Services**.
12. National Center Health Statistics: **National Health and Nutrition Examination survey, questionnaires, datasets and related documentation**.
13. NCHS Research Ethics Review Board (ERB): Approval National Health and Nutrition Examination, National Center of Health Statistics (NHANES-NCHS), ***NCHS Research Ethnics Review Board (ERB) Approval***.
14. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists' (AACE): **Guidelines for management of dyslipidemia and prevention of atherosclerosis**. *Endocr Pract* 2012, 18(suppl. 1).
15. National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS): Center for Disease Control and Prevention. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), ***Analytical and Reporting Guidelines***. Hyattsville, MD, USA, 2006.
16. Forthofer RN, Lee ES, Hernandez M: ***Contingency Table Analysis. Biostatistics: A Guide to Design, Analysis and Discovery*** 2007. Academic Press, Elsevier, Burlington, Mass, USA, 2nd edition, 437-440.
17. Taylor AE, Ebrahim S, Ben-Shlomo Y, Martin RM, Whincup PH, Yarnell JW, Wannamethee SG and Lawlor DA: **Comparison of the associations of body mass index and measures of central adiposity and fat mass with coronary heart disease, diabetes, and all-cause mortality: a study using data from 4 UK cohorts**. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010, **91**:547-56.
18. MacKay MF, Haffner SM, Wagenknecht LE, D'Agostino RB, Jr. and Hanley AJ: **Prediction of type 2 diabetes using alternate anthropometric measures in a multi-ethnic cohort: the insulin resistance atherosclerosis study**. *Diabetes Care* 2009, **32**:956-8.
19. Misra A, Wasir JS and Vikram NK: **Waist circumference criteria for the diagnosis of abdominal obesity are not applicable uniformly to all populations and ethnic groups**. *Nutrition* 2005, **21**:969-76.
20. Schubert CM, Rogers NL, Remsberg KE, Sun SS, Chumlea WC, Demerath EW, Czerwinski SA, Towne B and Siervogel RM: **Lipids, lipoproteins, lifestyle, adiposity and fat-free mass during middle age: the Fels Longitudinal Study**. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2006, **30**:251-60.
21. Snijder MB, van Dam RM, Visser M and Seidell JC: **What aspects of body fat are particularly hazardous and how do we measure them?** *Int J Epidemiol* 2006, **35**:83-92.
22. Donahue RP, Abbott RD, Bloom E, Reed DM and Yano K: **Central obesity and coronary heart disease in men**. *Lancet* 1987, **1**:821-4.
23. Misra A, Madhavan M, Vikram NK, Pandey RM, Dhingra V and Luthra K: **Simple anthropometric measures identify fasting hyperinsulinemia and clustering of cardiovascular risk factors in Asian Indian adolescents**. *Metabolism* 2006, **55**:1569-73.
24. Sievenpiper JL, Jenkins DJ, Josse RG, Leiter LA and Vuksan V: **Simple skinfold-thickness measurements complement conventional anthropometric assessments in predicting glucose tolerance**. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001, **73**:567-73.
25. Mensink M, Feskens EJ, Kruijshoop M, de Bruin TW, Saris WH and Blaak EE: **Subscapular skinfold thickness distinguishes between transient and persistent impaired glucose tolerance: Study on Lifestyle-Intervention and Impaired Glucose Tolerance Maastricht (SLIM)**. *Diabet Med* 2003, **20**:552-7.
26. Tanaka S, Togashi K, Rankinen T, Perusse L, Leon AS, Rao DC, Skinner JS, Wilmore JH, Despres JP and Bouchard C: **Sex differences in the relationships of abdominal fat to cardiovascular disease risk among normal-weight white subjects**. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2004, **28**:320-3.
27. Kim JY, Han SH and Yang BM: **Implication of high-body-fat percentage on cardiometabolic risk in middle-aged, healthy, normal-weight adults**. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2012.
28. Randall OS, Retta TM, Kwagyan J, Gordeuk VR, Xu S, Maqbool AR, Ketete M and Obisesan TO: **Obese African Americans: the prevalence of dyslipidemia, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus**. *Ethn Dis* 2004, **14**:384-8.
29. Hoenig MR: **Implications of the obesity epidemic for lipid-lowering therapy: non-HDL cholesterol should replace LDL cholesterol as the primary therapeutic target**. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 2008, **4**:143-56.